

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/929,293	08/14/2001	Paul C. Denny	13761-7016	8209
75	590 05/05/2003			
Rajiv Yadav			EXAMINER	
McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Emersen, LLP 18th Floor			COOK, LISA V	
Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Gail I Talloisoo,			1641	9
		DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	09/929,293	DENNY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lisa V. Cook	1641			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 F	ebruary 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-71$ is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-71 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	tion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/929,293

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (Claims 1-31) in Paper No. 8 filed 2/18/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden of examination has been established and the groups are not independent and distinct because they all involve the detection of mucin have been carefully considered but not found persuasive.
- 2. This is not found persuasive because MPEP § 808.02 recites:
 - Where related inventions as claimed are shown to be distinct under the criteria of MPEP § 806.05(c)- § 806.05(i), the examiner, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (A) Separate classification thereof, (B) A separate status in the art when they are classified together, or (C) A different field of search.
- 3. In the instant case, (A) -The Restriction Requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121 in Paper #6 established distinctness of the inventions and separate classification thereof.
- 4. (B) The inventions of Groups I, II, and III would require a separate status in the art when they are classified together; the invention as a whole is drawn to **mucin** detection. Such inventions are classified in 530, subclass 836 for example.
- 5. (C) With respect to a different field of search Because these inventions are distinct and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter and because the search required for each invention is not substantially coextensive with the search required for the remaining invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Further, the combination of Groups I, II and III for examination on the merits is deemed incorrect. The merging of these groups would combine patentably distinct inventions.

Specifically the invention of Group I is directed to a method which merely detects a component in isolated mucin as a measure of predicting a disease. While Group II is drawn to therapeutic administration of a reagent wherein a component of mucin is correlated with oral fluid standard thereby reducing the risk of a disease. The methods have different method steps and utilized diverse reagents. Group I does not require therapeutic administration or oral standards.

The kit/product of invention Group III can be practiced with either of the materially different processes of Group I or Group II. Accordingly restriction is proper.

The Restriction Requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

7. Examiner has reconsidered the restriction of claims 1-31 and found it necessary to provide the following Species Election/Restriction. Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience this may cause Applicant.

Election/Restrictions

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicant is required to select one claim from groups A-C and one claim combination (I-V) group D for consideration.

Application/Control Number: 09/929,293

Art Unit: 1641

- A. Saliva Sample either claim 2 or claim 3 must be selected.
- B. Component claim 4, claim 5, or claim 6 must be selected.
- C. Mucin claim 7, claim 8, or claim 9 must be selected.
- D. Disease Assessment one of the following combinations (I-V) must be selected.
 - I. Periodontal diseases claims 23, 24, and 26
 - II. Cardiovascular diseases claims 23 and 25
 - III. Diabetes claims 23 and 27
 - IV. Mucosal infections claims 23 and 29
 - V. Dental caries claims 23, 30, and 31
- 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 10-22 are generic.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/929,293

Art Unit: 1641

11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

12. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 Fax number is (703) 308-4242,

which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (703) 305-0808. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Lisa V. Cook

CM1-7B17

5/2/03

LONGVIE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1600

05/04/13